

# Using the Monte Carlo to determine the *Predicted*Neutrino Yield

R. Rameika April 23, 1999

### Purpose of this Note



- I have been working on making the Monte Carlo an general purpose tool for helping to determine the efficiency for locating neutrino interactions.
- Over the next several weeks I will report on the analysis of the MC and data and begin to extract efficiencies.
- There are many studies that need to be done in this area and I hope that others will begin to see how to use the MC to help in those studies.
- To get people started, next week I
  will post a general purpose ntuple
  which can be used to start studies.

### Predicted Yields



• We can base our flavor yields (*before* efficiency correction) on the observed number  $v_{\mu}$  CC interactions:

$$v_{tot} = CC_{tot} + NC$$

$$-$$
 NC = 0.35 CC<sub>tot</sub>

$$- \ CC_{tot} = \nu_{\mu} + \nu_{e} + \nu_{\tau}$$

$$-\quad \nu_{\mu}=\nu_{e}$$

$$-\quad \nu_\tau^{}=~0.05~\nu_{tot}^{}$$

$$- \quad CC_{tot} = 2 \ \nu_{\mu} + 0.05 \ \nu_{tot}$$

$$- \quad \nu_{tot} = \nu_{\mu} + \nu_{e} + \nu_{\tau} + NC$$

$$- \quad \nu_{tot} = 2 \; \nu_{\mu} + 0.05 \; \nu_{tot} + 0.35 \; CC_{tot}$$

$$- \quad \nu_{tot} = 2 \; \nu_{\mu} + 0.05 \; \nu_{tot} + 0.35 \; * (2 \; \nu_{\mu} + 0.05 \; \nu_{tot})$$

$$\Longrightarrow \nu_{tot} = 2.89 \ \nu_{\mu}$$

### **Event Location Efficiency**



- What contributes to the efficiency?
  - Spectrometer Performance
    - Trigger
    - Strip selection criteria
    - Visual scan selection criteria
    - Vertex reconstruction
  - Emulsion Scanning
    - Vertex location in module (u,v and z)
    - Number of tracks forming vertex
    - Angles of tracks forming vertex
- How can the efficiency vary within the experiment?
  - Neutrino types (topology)
    - $v_{\mu}, v_{e}, v_{\tau}, NC$
  - Target Modules
    - ECC vs. Bulk;
    - station location
  - Time Dependence of Backgrounds
  - Time Dependence of Spectrometer Performance

### Method for determining Actual Yield Predictions



- Define an efficiency for each neutrino type:  $\mathcal{E}_{\mu}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{e}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{\tau}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{NC}$
- For each neutrino type, each  $\varepsilon$  is the product of  $\varepsilon_{trig}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{strip}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{VS}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{scan}$
- Use the Monte Carlo to determine  $\varepsilon_{trig}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{strip}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{VS}$
- Monte Carlo each of the Target
   Configurations (period 1 4) to determine
   the gross time dependent efficiency due to
   the amount of target material present.
- Refine efficiency calculations by tuning the Monte Carlo to accurately model the data distributions

## Monte Carlo and Data Comparison



#### Detector Distributions

- Tracking Detectors
  - No. of hits/plane
  - uv/xy hit distributions
  - Pulse height in SF
- EM Calorimeter
  - No. of "hit" blocks
  - No. of clusters
  - Energy per block
- Muon ID
  - No. of hits/plane
  - xy hit distributions

### Monte Carlo and Data Comparison



#### Reconstructed Parameters

- No. of final spectrometer tracks
- No. of SF lines
- Total energy in calorimeter
- EM energy per cluster
- Muon ID per station
- Vertex predictions
- Prediction accuracy (located events
- Angular distribution of tracks
- Reconstructed momentum of tracks

### Monte Carlo Refinements



- Use minimum bias triggers to study background levels as a function of time.
- Use MC/data comparisons to determine performance efficiency of detector elements such as trigger counters and MU ID.
- Incorporate dead channels and inefficiencies into MC and repeat trigger efficiency studies